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A B S T R A C T

Background: A spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality (SCIWORA) is a relatively uncommon event that occurs in children following cervical trauma primarily due to sports-related injuries or physical abuse.

Case description: This case report describes an 11-year-old wrestler that developed signs and symptoms consistent with a SCIWORA following neck trauma during competition. Despite all diagnostic tests being inconclusive, the patient demonstrated increased cervical, thoracic, and lumbar paraspinal tone along with pain, loss of sensation, loss of mobility, and weakness of the lower extremities. As a result, the patient was confined to a wheelchair and required maximum assistance to transfer and ambulate with a walker. The patient was referred to physical therapy nine days after the traumatic event, where he received interferential current with moist heat, myofascial release of paraspinal muscles, functional exercise, gait training, and spinal manipulative therapy targeting the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar vertebrae.

Outcome: After 13 physical therapy treatments over 5-weeks, the patient was able to ambulate independently and perform all activities of daily living without pain or functional limitation. The following case report outlines this patient’s successful journey toward recovery.

Conclusion: This case report suggests that spinal manipulative therapy may be a safe and effective intervention when used within a multi-modal treatment strategy for patients with signs and symptoms consistent with SCIWORA. Moreover, spinal manipulative therapy may be considered a beneficial treatment in some pediatric patients. However, this report describes a single patient, and further research is required on the use of spinal manipulation in this patient population.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Background

A spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormalities (SCIWORA) is a clinically significant post-traumatic myelopathy without radiographic and/or computer tomography (CT) abnormalities (Bonfanti et al., 2018). Moreover, only two-thirds of patients have pathological findings per magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Bonfanti et al., 2018; Pang and Pollack, 1989). First described in 1974 (Burke, 1974) and identified in pediatric patients in 1982 (Pang and Wilberger, 1982), SCIWORA occurs mostly in children due to hypermobility and ligamentous laxity of the spine, which allows musculoskeletal structures to move beyond their physiologic limits, resulting in cord trauma without vertebral column disruption (Heydemann et al., 2020; Kriss and Kriss, 1996). Patients diagnosed with SCIWORA can present with a variety of neurological deficits including paresthesia, changes in tendon reflexes, para/ hemiparesis/plegia, incontinence, and positive cord signs along with pain, sensitivity, abrasions, and ecchymosis in the vicinity of the spinal column (Atesok et al., 2018; Schellenberg et al., 2011). Symptoms can begin immediately at the time of injury, or they can occur days later due to second-hit phenomenon, inflammation, and hematoma formation around the spinal cord (Atesok et al., 2018; Pang and Wilberger, 1982).
While the prevalence of SCIWORA ranges from 6 to 19% in children less than 8 years old and 9–14% in adults (Szwedowski and Walecki, 2014), a diagnosis of SCIWORA in adults continues to be a topic of debate throughout the literature (Bonfanti et al., 2018). Patients with SCIWORA are initially given corticosteroids and immobilization so as to promote healing and spinal stability and then sent for rehabilitation (Alshorman et al., 2020). However, outcomes are variable (Alshorman et al., 2020).

Spinal manipulative therapy (SMT) is an accurately localized, single, quick, and decisive moment of small amplitude, following careful positioning of the patient (Grieve, 1981). Although not energetic, the movement is designed to be faster than the patient's reaction time (Grieve, 1981) and create audible cavitations (Dunning et al., 2012, 2016; Reggars, 1996), leading to mechanical reaction time (Grieve, 1981) and create audible cavitations (Dunning et al., 2012, 2016; Reggars, 1996), leading to mechanical

While many clinicians prefer not to use spinal manipulation on children and adolescents (Shafir and Kaufman, 1992; Spiegelblatt, 2002; Waimer, 2002), there is no sound research suggesting that it is harmful (Bolin, 2010). Nevertheless, patients must be carefully screened for appropriateness (Bolin, 2010; Garcia et al., 2016). When treating pediatric patients, special consideration must be placed on joint mechanics in relation to epiphyseal plates, which are influenced by the maturation of the ossification centers (Bolin, 2010).

Anatomically, the facet joints in the pediatric cervical spine have not yet ossified, and the spinous processes have not fully developed (Dhal et al., 2006; Jarosz, 1999). Pediatric patients also have a disproportionately larger heads, weaker musculature, and ligamentous laxity, placing them at a higher risk for injury (Robinson et al., 2015). Despite unique anatomical and physiologic differences between the pediatric and adult C-spine, the risks are minimal in both populations (Dhal et al., 2006; Jarosz, 1999; Todd et al., 2015). Abdulra et al. reported safety incidents following manipulative procedures ranging from 0.23% to 9% (Abdulla et al., 2015).

A number of case studies and case series exist, supporting the use of SMT for pediatric patients. In Walston and Yake, 10–14 sessions of SMT and exercise were used to treat three adolescent patients with mechanical low back pain, resulting in a significant reduction in pain and disability (Walston and Yake, 2016). In three additional studies, SMT was successfully used to treat children with a bulging lumbar intervertebral disc (Zhao and Feng, 1997), “growing pains” associated with the lumboSacral spine (Alcantara and Davis, 2011), and neck pain with headaches (Roberts and Wolfe, 2009), respectively.

Spinal manipulation has also been found to facilitate recovery in patients with neurologic symptoms (Dunning et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2016; Tieppo Francio et al., 2017; Vining et al., 2018). Kachmar et al. reported improvements in gross motor function and reduced spasticity when SMT was administered to 29 patients with cerebral palsy ranging from 7 to 18 years old (Kachmar et al., 2016). In addition, SMT has been successfully incorporated into a multidisciplinary treatment approach for a partial cervical spinal cord injury following a traumatic motor vehicle accident (Vining et al., 2017). Despite multiple cervical spine fractures and a partial spinal cord injury, thrust manipulation to the thoracic and thoracolumbar region combined with upper extremity stretching, mechanical percussion, and soft tissue work to the cervico-occipital region led to decreased pain, improved function, and transfer to a long-term care facility (Vining et al., 2017).

The present case report involved an 11-year-old patient that suffered from signs and symptoms consistent with a SCIWORA following compression of the spine during a wrestling match. While auto accidents and falls are the most common mechanism of SCIs in infants and children (ages two to nine), respectively, incidents involving sports are the most common reason for SCIs in children 10–14 years old (Cirak et al., 2004). To our knowledge, this is the first study whereby SMT is used to treat a pediatric patient with symptoms consistent with a SCIWORA.

2. Case description

The case report involves an 11-year-old male patient that suffered a traumatic neck injury during a competitive wrestling match. The patient was attempting to maneuver his body so as to escape being pinned when he was lifted from behind by his opponent and dropped laterally onto his neck. His cervical spine was immediately stabilized, and the patient was transported to the nearest hospital for further evaluation. According to open-mouth views of the cervical spine and A-P and lateral views of the thoracic spine, there was no evidence of an upper cervical fracture. Computerized tomography (CT) and MRI images were negative for anatomical and structural defects. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the patient’s brain, cervical spine, and thoracic spine were taken without contrast, but they were unremarkable. While MRI of the lumbar spine found moderate straightening of the lordosis, consistent with muscle spasms, there were no signs of herniated discs or central canal stenosis. Importantly, the spinal cord in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine had a normal signal intensity and caliber. At the time of injury, the patient had no co-morbidities, and his past medical history was unremarkable. See Tables 1 and 2 for a timeline of the injury and the medical care that followed, respectively.

The patient’s primary complaint was pain over the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar paraspinal muscles along with loss of strength and sensation in the lower extremities. As a result, he was unable to transition from sit-to-stand or ambulate without maximum assistance of two people. The patient’s short-term goals were to improve function and decrease pain. Specifically, he wanted to be able to sit, stand, and ambulate independently without pain. His long-term goals were to return to previous level-of-function and participate in competitive sports.

3. Clinical impression

The patient is an 11-year-old male wrestler that presented with signs and symptoms consistent with a SCIWORA, but without an official diagnosis. Given that all diagnostic tests were unremarkable and/or inconclusive, the patient’s family requested physical therapy treatment. Please see Fig. 1 for radiographic images taken <2 hours after the traumatic injury. The patient presented to physical therapy (See Fig. 1) with a prescription to treat paraspinal pain and
Manual therapy, modalities, and exercise provided during 13 physical therapy treatment sessions.

Table 1
Timeline of patient’s symptoms and corresponding medical treatment following traumatic injury.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Injury (IR)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR 1 Hour</td>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>Cervical C2-7 rotatory mobilization</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR 2 Hours</td>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>Cervicothoracic (C7-T3) lateral flexion mobilization</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR 3 Days</td>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>Mid-thoracic (T2-T9) P-A extension mobilization</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR 4 Days</td>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>Lumbar sacral (L3-S1) lateral flexion mobilization</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR 7 Days</td>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>Myofascial release (instrument-assisted soft tissue manipulation)</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR 9 Days</td>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>Myofascial release (instrument-assisted soft tissue manipulation)</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Manual therapy, modalities, and exercise provided during 13 physical therapy treatment sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manual Therapy</td>
<td>1–13 Spinal Manipulation</td>
<td>Cervical C2-7 rotatory HVLAT (cradle hold) - bilaterally</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Exercise Program</td>
<td>1–2 Lower Extremity Strengthening</td>
<td>Seated lower extremity active range of motion to tolerance</td>
<td>See below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>1 Lower Extremity Strengthening</td>
<td>Seated marching 3 sets X 10 repetitions with maximum verbal cueing</td>
<td>Patient able to perform lower extremity active range of motion with maximum verbal cueing. He requires maximum assistance X2 to ambulate with a rolling walker X20 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2–8 Lower Extremity Strengthening</td>
<td>Seated marching 3 sets X 10 repetitions</td>
<td>Patient able to perform seated therapeutic exercise but requires moderate-maximum assistance to sit-to-stand and ambulate 10 steps in parallel bars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Lower Extremity Strengthening</td>
<td>Sit-to-stand at parallel bars with bar assistance X 10 repetitions</td>
<td>Patient able to perform seated therapeutic exercise but requires minimum-moderate assistance to sit-to-stand and ambulate 10 steps in parallel bars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10–11 Lower Extremity Strengthening</td>
<td>Sit-to-stand at parallel bars with contact-guard-minimum assistance X 10 repetitions</td>
<td>Patient able to perform seated therapeutic exercise but requires contact-guard-minimum assistance to sit-to-stand and ambulate 10 steps in parallel bars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12–13 Lower Extremity Strengthening</td>
<td>Sit-to-stand independent X3 minutes</td>
<td>Patient able to perform seated therapeutic exercise and independently sit-to-stand and ambulate 10 steps in parallel bars.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
muscle strain in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine. While paraspinal pain and muscle strain were clearly associated with the patient's condition, loss of strength, sensation, balance, and overall function suggested that the condition may be much more complicated. More specifically, the patient's mechanism of injury and symptoms suggested central nervous system involvement. In the absence of central nervous system involvement, the secondary goal was to find a possible musculoskeletal structure that could be propagating the patient's pain while disrupting the neural outflow from the central nervous system. The final goal of the evaluation was to confirm that the patient was safe to treat.

4. Examination

A number of tests were performed during the initial evaluation in order to determine central nervous system involvement. In addition to cranial nerve testing, Lhermitte's sign and Hoffman's signs were checked. Lhermitte's sign is 97% specific and 3–17% sensitive (Khare and Seth, 2015), while Hoffman's sign is 33.3% specific and 61.9% sensitive for non-specific compressive myelopathy (Saha et al., 2017). However, all cranial nerves were normal, and the patient did not have a positive Lhermitte or Hoffman's sign. Possible vascular pathology was also ruled out, as cranial nerve and positional testing were negative. Moreover, the patient's blood pressure was normal. While the patient did experience a dangerous method of injury, all other aspects of the Canadian C-spine rules were also negative. According to Stiell et al., the Canadian C-spine rules have a sensitivity of 100% (Stiell et al., 2001). Given the patient's unremarkable CT and MRI images of the C-spine, fractures were considered unlikely. The tectorial membrane and Sharp-Purser test were also performed in an effort to provoke and alleviate symptoms, respectively, associated with cranio cervical instability (Hutting et al., 2013). Collectively, these tests are sensitive and specific for identifying patients with upper cervical spine instability (Hutting et al., 2013). Both special tests were negative.

The patient and his mother presented to physical therapy in a wheelchair with a diagnosis of cervical muscle strain along with cervical, thoracic, and lumbar pain. The patient was unable to utilize core or lower extremity muscles to ambulate, transfer, or sit with proper posture without pain. While the patient was able to move his toes in response to verbal and visual cueing, he was unable to move other muscles in the lower extremities or maintain unsupported sitting. A lower quarter scan revealed 1+/5 strength of the core and bilateral lower extremity muscles, which were primarily limited by pain. The patient also demonstrated loss of sensation to light touch over the dermatomes in both legs distal to mid-thigh. However, reflexes were 2+, bilaterally. While the patient could utilize his upper extremities, he was dependent for all transfers. The patient scored a 9/80 on the Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS) during initial evaluation.

Lateral glide testing was used to assess the facet joints in the cervical spine. Segmental hypomobility, paraspinal muscle hypertonicity, and general sensitivity were noted with lateral glide testing in the cervical spine (Jull et al., 1997). The patient further reported significant discomfort during Kemp's Test (Stuber et al., 2014), lumbar compression, and posterior to anterior glides over the thoracic and lumbar facet joints and spinous processes, further suggesting facet joint involvement (Rey-Eiriz et al., 2010). Although the patient reported discomfort during the cervical axial compression and Spurling's Test (Tong et al., 2002), he did not report increased radicular symptoms into the upper extremities. In general, the patient's cervical, thoracic, and lumbar paraspinal muscles seemed to be in a continuous state of spasm. They were sensitive to palpation with numerous palpable trigger points throughout.

5. Clinical findings

Based on the findings of the initial examination, the patient did not have a central nervous system lesion. However, pain, spasming,
and inflammation of the paraspinal muscles in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine possibly disrupted the neural outflow from the periphery, causing significant weakness, loss of sensation, and decreased function (Korr, 1978; Pickar and Bolton, 2012). As such, the goal of physical therapy was to target the paraspinal muscles and facet joints so as to decrease the pain and inflammation, thereby improving the neural outflow to the core and lower extremities. Neither diagnostic images nor special testing revealed any precautions or contraindications to physical therapy, to include the use of SMT. The findings of the physical therapy evaluation were discussed with the patient, the patient’s family, and the referring physician. Collectively, it was determined that moist heat with IFC, myofascial release (i.e. instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization), exercise, gait training, and SMT would be included in the patient’s plan of care.

6. Intervention

The patient received a total of 13 physical therapy treatment sessions over 5-weeks with at least one rest day between treatments. The patient was able to tolerate 1–2 weekly treatment sessions for the first 2-weeks followed by 2–3 weekly treatment sessions thereafter. Interventions included moist heat with IFC, myofascial release (i.e. instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization), therapeutic exercise, gait training, and SMT. Consistent with previous investigations, spinal manipulation included the following techniques: cervical (C2–C7) rotatory HVLA (cradle hold) (Dunning et al., 2020), cervicothoracic junction (C7-T3) lateral flexion HVLA in prone (Dunning et al., 2017), mid-thoracic (T4-T9) A-P extension HVLA in supine (Joo et al., 2020; Joshi et al., 2020), and lumbosacral junction (L5-S1) HVLA in lateral recumbent with extension/rotation and sacral forearm pull (Mourad et al., 2019). With the exception of the mid-thoracic (T2-T9) P-A extension HVLA in prone, all manipulation techniques were performed bilaterally. All manipulation techniques were performed once during each of the 13 physical therapy treatment sessions. The goal of the manipulation techniques was to produce audible cavitations, which is generally considered an indicator of successful technique delivery among physical therapists, chiropractors, and osteopaths (Dunning et al., 2016; Evans and Lucas, 2010; Herzog et al., 1993; Reggars, 1996; Ross et al., 2004). Please see Table 2 for a detailed outline of the manual therapy techniques, modalities, and exercises given to this patient during 13 physical therapy treatment sessions.

7. Outcome

After evaluation and first treatment session, which included SMT, the patient was able to lift his legs into hip flexion and knee extension from a seated position with maximum verbal encouragement and cueing. The patient had 2+/5 core and bilateral lower extremity strength per manual muscle testing and was primarily limited by pain. He was also able to stand with maximum assistance of two people and use a rolling walker to take several steps. By treatment 12 and 13, the patient was able to ambulate independently with a normal gait pattern. Moreover, the patient returned to a previous level of function, including full return to sports. Following multimodal physical therapy, to include spinal manipulation, the patient scored an 80/80 on the LEFS. Please see Fig. 2 for images depicting the patient’s progression across physical therapy treatments 1, 2, 3, and 12 (Note: The patient’s level of function at treatment 12 was equal to that of treatment 13). Movies documenting this patient’s progress are available online as supplementary files. At 6-months follow-up, the patient continued to participate in competitive sports with no functional limitations. Now 3.5 years after physical therapy treatment for symptoms consistent with a SCiWORA, the patient reports no pain or limitations of any kind. Fig. 3 depicts patient playing competitive football 3.5 years posttraumatic injury.

8. Discussion

The results of this case report suggest that a combination of moist heat with IFC, myofascial release, exercise, gait training, and SMT of the cervical, thoracic, and lumbosacral regions, may be useful for patients with symptoms consistent with a SCiWORA. After 13 treatments, the 11-year-old wrestler achieved full recovery with resolution of pain, function, muscle strength, and balance. At 6-months follow-up, the patient demonstrated full return to competitive sports with no functional limitations, an outcome which persisted >3.5 years. While this single case cannot and should not represent an entire population of patients and no firm cause and effect can be established, it is perhaps worth noting that SMT was the component of the treatment that consistently led to the most dramatic improvements in pain and function, as evidenced by the patient’s ability to ambulate and complete transitional movements independently. As mentioned earlier, mechanical, biochemical, and neurophysiologic response to SMT may help account for the aforementioned clinical improvements. Although a topic of continued debate, a number of studies on cadavers (Gal et al., 1997) and live patients (Childs et al., 2004; Tinggren and Soinila, 2006) have demonstrated a positional change of the arthokinematic structures of the zygoapophyseal joint pre to post manipulation, which could help alter segmental biomechanics by releasing trapped meniscoids, breaking adhesions, and improving distortion of intervertebral discs (Lewit, 1991; Pickar and Bolton, 2012). According to Triano et al., the new positional equilibrium could reduce mechanical stress and strain on both soft tissue and bone (Triano, 2001). The new position may relieve compression from the neural tissue in the intervertebral foramen, improve action potential frequency, increase axoplasmic transport, and reduce factors associated with inflammation (Korr, 1978; Pickar and Bolton, 2012). Song et al. reported significantly less hyperexcitability and inflammation of dorsal root ganglia neurons in an animal model of intervertebral foramen inflammation pre to post activator-assisted SMT (Song et al., 2006). In addition, the improper position of facet joints could activate nociceptors in the joint and paraspinal muscle spindles that are associated with the joint, leading to a cycle of gamma motor neuron gain and subsequent muscle spindle hypersensitivity (Clark et al., 2011). Excessive muscle spindle activity has been shown to lead to a hyperactive spinal stretch-reflex and alpha motor neuron activity, a phenomenon more formally referred to as the pain-spasm-pain cycle (van Dieen et al., 2003). The resulting paraspinal muscle hypertonicity could also compress neural tissue in the vicinity of the intervertebral foramen, causing radicular symptoms such as muscle weakness, loss of sensation, imbalance, and pain. Notably, the patient in the present study reported significant paraspinal muscle spasms and pain in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine, which progressed to significant lower extremity muscle weakness, loss of sensation, and imbalance soon thereafter.

While muscle spindle discharge seems to increase during the course of high-velocity, low amplitude thrust procedures (Cao et al., 2013; Pickar and Kang, 2006; Pickar and Wheeler, 2001), a number of studies have reported an attenuation of the stretch-reflex and a significant decrease in the sensitivity of muscle spindles post-manipulation (Clark et al., 2011; Dishman and Bulgubian, 2000; Reed et al., 2017). Interestingly, muscle spindle desensitization was only achieved in the presence of an audible cavitation, which may be required to gap the joint and break fixations in order to restore the proper motion of the vertebral segment (Clark et al., 2011).
Other investigators have also proposed that SMT activates joint mechanoreceptors, spinal ligaments, intervertebral discs, cutaneous receptors, golgi tendon organs, and muscles spindles, which collectively work to inhibit alpha motor neuron excitability so as to correct muscle hypertonicity (Colloca et al., 2004; Pickar and Kang, 2006; Symons et al., 2000). A number of studies have specifically measured decreased paraspinal activity post SMT during movement (Bicalho et al., 2010; Tunnell, 2009) and at rest (DeVocht et al., 2005; Lehman et al., 2001; Orakifar et al., 2012). Dunning and Rushton further reported a significant decrease in the tone of the bilateral biceps brachii, a muscle segmentally and neuroanatomically associated with, but not attached to the spine, following a single manipulation targeting the right C5-C6 compared to control (Dunning and Rushton, 2009).

SMT has also been shown to have a significant effect on pain perception (Pickar, 2002; Vigotsky and Bruhns, 2015; Wright, 1995). Patients provoked with pain secondary to pressure, electricity, stretching, dermal irritation, and spontaneous stimuli all report improved tolerance following SMT (Millan et al., 2012). The literature reports improvements in local and regional pain pressure threshold from 4.8% to 44.6% (Hannam and McMillan, 1994). SMT is widely considered to inhibit pain, at least in part, via the Gait Control Theory of pain (Vigotsky and Bruhns, 2015). According to Melzack, substantia gelatinosa interneurons are stimulated by low threshold Ab fibers, which inhibit neurons at the level of the dorsal horn (Melzack and Wall, 1965). While Vernon et al. measured a significant increase in plasma B-endorphin concentration following manipulation of the upper cervical spine vs. control (Vernon et al., 1986), subsequent investigations on SMT have published contradicting results (Christian et al., 1988). Moreover, Skyba et al. found that blocking receptors associated with serotonin and norepinephrine in the spinal cord attenuated the effects of knee joint manipulation, whereas blocking opioid channels with naloxone had no effect on analgesia (Skyba et al., 2003). As such, the initial hypoalgesic effects of SMT are thought to be propagated via descending pain inhibition via the periaqueductal grey (Wright, 1995). In short, the projections from the dorsal periaqueductal grey utilize norepinephrine to inhibit substance P evoked by painful mechanical stimuli, whereas the ventral periaqueductal grey uses serotonin to inhibit noxious thermal stimulation (Kuraishi, 1990; Wright, 1995).

According to Boal and Gillette, back trauma stimulates high-frequency firing of small, nociceptive type-C afferents, which drives spinal changes associated with hyperalgesia and referred pain via long-term potentiation (Boal and Gillette, 2004). Given that plastic changes begin to occur during the initial response to injury (Coderre et al., 1993), the patient in the present study was likely dealing with central and peripheral-mediated pain. However, SMT has been shown to stimulate low frequency, large, nociceptive type-Ad afferents, which have the ability to reverse central mediated changes associated with pain via long term depression (Boal and Gillette, 2004; Sandkuhler et al., 1997). Like the initial pain stimulus, Ad stimulation via SMT begins working from seconds to minutes following treatment and has been shown to last for hours (Boal and Gillette, 2004), which is consistent with the time course to change pain sensitivity in the low back following SMT.

Consistent with the patient in the present study, a number of investigations in the literature have demonstrated improvements in paraspinal (Cleland et al., 2004; Dunning et al., 2012; Keller and Colloca, 2000) and pelvic floor (de Almeida et al., 2010) muscle strength following spinal and sacroiliac manipulation, respectively. After performing SMT at lumber vertebral levels that specifically innervated weak muscle groups, Chilibeck et al. also reported significant improvements in hip and knee flexor strength compared to placebo SMT (Chilibeck et al., 2011). The simplest explanation for improvements in muscle strength pre to post SMT may be a reduction of pain inhibition (Suter et al., 1999). However, there also seems to be an association between muscle strength post-
9. Limitations

This is a single case report; therefore, no firm cause and effect relationships can be established. While the patient subjectively felt that they benefited most from the SMT, there is no way to truly determine the importance of the intervention. Further research is needed to investigate the effects of SMT on SCIWORA.

10. Conclusion

The results of the present case report suggest that SMT may be an effective strategy for pediatric patients that present with signs and symptoms consistent with SCIWORA, particularly when packaged as part of a multi-modal treatment strategy. More research is warranted in order to provide adequate evidence to support SMT in the pediatric population.
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