

# Dry needling in the management of chronic tension-type headache associated with levator scapulae syndrome: A case report

Peter Gagnon<sup>1,2</sup> | James Dunning<sup>1,3</sup>  | Paul Bliton<sup>1,4</sup> | Casey Charlebois<sup>1,5</sup> | Nathan Henry<sup>1,6</sup> | Patrick Gorby<sup>1,7</sup> | Firas Mourad<sup>8,9</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>American Academy of Manipulative Therapy Fellowship in Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy, Montgomery, Alabama, USA

<sup>2</sup>Physical Therapy of Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>3</sup>Montgomery Osteopractic Physical Therapy & Acupuncture, Montgomery, Alabama, USA

<sup>4</sup>William S. Middleton VA Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>5</sup>Arcadia University, Glenside, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>6</sup>Physio Room, Colorado Springs, Colorado, USA

<sup>7</sup>Gorby Osteopractic Physiotherapy, Colorado Springs, CO, Colorado Springs, Colorado, USA

<sup>8</sup>Department of Physiotherapy, LUNEX International University of Health, Exercise and Sports, Differdange, Luxembourg

<sup>9</sup>Luxembourg Health & Sport Sciences Research Institute ASBL, Differdange, Luxembourg

## Correspondence

James Dunning, American Academy of Manipulative Therapy Fellowship in Orthopaedic Manual Physical Therapy, Montgomery, AL, USA.  
Email: [drjamesdunning@gmail.com](mailto:drjamesdunning@gmail.com)

## Key Clinical Message

The use of DN to the muscular trigger points and distal periosteal entheses of the levator scapulae may be a useful adjunct intervention within a multi-modal plan of care for the management of work-related chronic tension-type headaches associated with LSS.

## Abstract

Chronic tension-type headaches (CTTH) have a lifetime prevalence of 42% and account for more lost workdays than migraine headaches. Dry needling (DN) is being increasingly used by physical therapists in the management of CTTH; however, to date, the supporting evidence is limited. The purpose of this case report was to describe how three sessions of DN targeting myofascial trigger points in the levator scapulae (LS) muscle and its distal entheses was used to treat a 63-year-old male patient who presented with work-related CTTH associated with levator scapulae syndrome (LSS). The patient was treated for five visits over the course of 2 months. At discharge and 6-month follow-up, the patient reported full resolution of symptoms. Self-report outcomes included the numeric pain rating scale and the Neck Disability Index. The use of DN to the LS muscle and its distal entheses may be a valuable addition to a multi-modal plan of care in the treatment of work-related CTTH associated with LSS.

## KEYWORDS

dry needling, myofascial, tendon, tension type headache, trigger point

## 1 | BACKGROUND

Headache ranks among the top 10 most disabling conditions worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Tension type headache (TTH) is the most frequent primary headache and is characterized by bilateral, non-throbbing, mild to moderate pain of the head and neck that is not exacerbated by routine physical activity.<sup>2</sup> According to the International Headache Society (IHS), there are two distinct types of TTH. Episodic TTHs (sub-classified as infrequent or frequent) occur between 1 and 14 days per month. Less common, chronic tension-type headaches (CTTH) are present on  $\geq 15$  days per month.<sup>2</sup> Population-based studies suggest a lifetime prevalence of 42%<sup>1</sup> with three times more workdays lost when compared to migraine headaches.<sup>3</sup> The IHS criteria for diagnosis of CTTH includes: (1) headache occurring  $\geq 15$  days per month on average for  $>3$  months, (2) headache lasting hours to days, and (3) headache demonstrating at least two of the following four characteristics: bilateral location, pressing or tightening (non-pulsating) quality, mild or moderate intensity, not aggravated by routine physical activity.<sup>2</sup>

The onset of episodic headaches can occur due to peripheral tissue irritation with central pain mechanisms underlying the evolution to CTTH.<sup>4–6</sup> Patients with CTTH demonstrate greater headache intensity and frequency compared to those with episodic TTH, indicating temporal summation of noxious afferent input.<sup>7</sup> Recurrent, low-frequency nociceptor stimulation progressively sensitizes peripheral nerve terminals and spinal dorsal horn neurons related to the neck and shoulder region, contributing to the formation and maintenance of MTrPs in patients with CTTH.<sup>8,9</sup> Previous studies have demonstrated neck and shoulder MTrPs, along with surrounding soft tissues including tendons, ligaments, and fascia may reproduce the symptoms associated with CTTH.<sup>10–14</sup>

Simons and Travell defined a MTrP as a hyperirritable spot within a taut band of a skeletal muscle that is painful on compression, stretch, overload, or contraction, with referred pain perceived as distant from the hyperirritable spot.<sup>15</sup> Although a systematic review and meta-analysis concluded the inter-rater reliability of manual palpation for identification of myofascial trigger points is “unreliable”,<sup>16</sup> good reliability has been reported for diagnostic signs including local tenderness ( $k=0.22–1.0$ ) and pain recognition ( $k=0.57–1.0$ ).<sup>17</sup> In addition, sonoelastography and magnetic resonance elastography appear to contribute to the objective identification of MTrPs.<sup>18,19</sup>

The integrated hypothesis of MTrP formation theory proposed by Simons, speculates excessive acetylcholine release at the neuromuscular junction, leading to a

sustained contraction of sarcomeres, local ischemia and an ATP driven “energy crisis.” The resultant hypoxic state of the muscle induces secretion of inflammatory chemical mediators followed by the antidromic release of neuropeptides from local nerve endings, lowering pH levels and sensitizing neural pathways that contribute to the formation of MTrPs.<sup>20</sup> Active MTrPs are clinically associated with spontaneous pain (without palpation or manual compression) in the immediate surrounding tissue and/or distant, referred sites. In contrast, latent MTrPs elicit local or referred pain only upon palpation and are not recognized as familiar pain to the patient.<sup>21</sup> Both active and latent MTrPs can provoke tissue dysfunction characterized by reduced range of motion, muscle fatigue, and altered activation patterns.<sup>22,23</sup>

Approximately 70% of patients with CTTH appear to experience muscle spasms in the cervical region.<sup>24,25</sup> More specifically, pathophysiological changes in the muscular activity of the sub-occipitals, sternocleidomastoid, upper trapezius, and levator scapulae (LS) have been recognized in the development of CTTH.<sup>13,26</sup>

Levator scapulae syndrome (LSS) is a musculoskeletal disorder, characterized by pain and stiffness in the upper thoracic and cervical regions, with limited cervical range of motion and tenderness to palpation at the medial aspect of the superior angle of the scapula.<sup>27–29</sup> Distal LS muscle belly and teno-osseous attachment tenderness has been reported in patients with LSS and may play a role in the development of “enthesopathy resulting from sustained MTrP tension.”<sup>15</sup> Increased heat emission has been measured from the medial aspect of the superior angle of the scapula in more than 60% of patients diagnosed with LSS, suggestive of an active metabolic process.<sup>29</sup> Notably, this specific region is the anatomical correlate for the entheses attachment in LSS. Following mechanical damage, tissue repair responses and vessel ingrowth have been observed.<sup>30</sup> Similar to tendons in disrepair, pain, and tenderness at the entheses is associated with increased vascularity on color imaging.<sup>31</sup>

Physical therapy is one of the most commonly used non-pharmacological approaches in the management of CTTH and can include manipulation and mobilization, postural control, exercise, soft tissue release, and dry needling (DN).<sup>32,33</sup> DN is a skilled treatment technique that uses solid filiform needles inserted into MTrPs, tendons, teno-osseous structures and other soft tissues.<sup>34–36</sup> DN has been found to significantly improve headache frequency, MTrP tenderness, cervical range of motion and health-related quality of life in patients with CTTH by providing a more comprehensive treatment approach than exercise and manual therapy alone.<sup>37–39</sup> Although DN was found to be superior to ischemic compression, follow up data

was only taken immediately post intervention, 48 h and 1 week after treatment with notable improvement in pressure pain thresholds, pain intensity, and tissue stiffness in the DN group.<sup>40</sup>

This case report describes the history, physical examination findings, specific treatments, and outcomes of a patient with CTTH associated with LSS and highlights the importance of a thorough palpation examination, including both myofascial and teno-osseous structures. Considering the documented effects of postural stress on musculoskeletal dysfunction in the workplace, increased sedentary behavior associated with the use of technological devices may lead to a higher prevalence of TTH in the future.<sup>41–47</sup>

## 2 | CASE DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 | Patient history

A 63-year-old male presented to the physical therapist with a primary complaint of insidious onset headaches over the past 5 months while typing at the computer with a secondary complaint of chronic neck and shoulder tightness. Notably, outside of work-related computer typing activities, the patient was otherwise active and did not live a sedentary lifestyle. The headache pain was described as a diffuse, dull ache originating near the medial aspect of the superior angle of the scapula bilaterally and progressively radiating upward toward the occiput. The patient reported a steady increase in symptoms while typing that peaked in the afternoon and decreased in the evening after self-administered treatment. Past medical history included concussion and an acute bout of neck pain after a traumatic biking accident 5 years prior. Imaging ruled out red flags and aside from some intermittent lingering stiffness, a full recovery was achieved.

Relief from headache symptoms occurred while taking a hot shower, using a heating pad, or applying self-massage to muscles in the neck and scapulothoracic region. At worst, headache pain was 6/10 on the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and negatively affected the patient's ability to concentrate while working. The NPRS is a reliable and valid instrument to assess pain intensity.<sup>48–50</sup> The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) for the NPRS has been shown to be 1.74 in patients with chronic pain conditions,<sup>49</sup> however, the MCID for TTH pain has not yet been established. Nevertheless, a change of two points or a 30% decrease in pain from baseline can be considered as a MCID in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain.<sup>49,51</sup>

The Neck Disability Index (NDI) score at the initial examination (i.e., baseline) was 19/50 (38%). The NDI is the most widely used instrument for assessing self-rated disability in patients with neck pain.<sup>52–54</sup> The NDI is a self-report questionnaire with 10 items rated from 0 (no disability) to 5 (complete disability).<sup>55</sup> The numeric responses for each item are summed for a total score ranging between 0 and 50. Higher scores represent increased levels of disability.<sup>52,56</sup> The NDI has been found to possess excellent test-retest reliability, strong construct validity, strong internal consistency, and good responsiveness in assessing disability in patients with cervicogenic headache (CH).<sup>50</sup> Although the MCID for CTTH has not been determined, the MCID for the NDI has been reported to be 7.5 in patients with CH.<sup>50</sup>

### 2.2 | Physical examination

The initial physical examination and all treatments were performed by a physical therapist with 8 years of clinical experience. In addition, this clinician was certified in DN and a fellow-in-training within an APTA-accredited orthopedic manual physical therapy fellowship program. The patient provided consent for treatment and for publication of the case details in a scholarly journal. Objective examination findings can be found in [Table 1](#). Notably, the patient reported severe tenderness and specific reproduction of posterior neck and suboccipital pain with pincer grasp palpation to the distal LS muscle bilaterally (i.e., approximately one thumb width superior and medial to the superior angle of the scapula). Tenderness with referred pain was also reported during palpation over the muscle's distal enthesis (i.e., the medial aspect of the superior angle of the scapula), bilaterally.

The patient demonstrated pronounced thoracic kyphosis and forward head posture while using a laptop computer. Correlations have been reported between forward head posture and increased incidence of neck and headache pain in patients with CTTH when compared to controls.<sup>57–60</sup> A 3-D kinematics study of desk workers found increased head-neck flexion angles to be associated with increased upper trapezius muscle activity, a synergist of the LS.<sup>61</sup> Additionally, significant weakness of the rhomboid and middle trapezius muscles have been reported in patients with neck pain when compared to controls, potentially increasing mechanical load to the scapular elevators.<sup>62,63</sup> Prior studies related to work-related myofascial disorders reported changes in muscle activity, stiffness and microcirculation during prolonged computer tasks, likely contributing to the onset and continuation of TTH.<sup>42,43,45,61,64</sup>

TABLE 1 Summary of relevant examination &amp; discharge findings.

Visit	1 (initial visit)	2	3	4 (at 4 weeks)	5 (discharge at 8 weeks)	Follow up (6 months)
NPRS	6/10	5/10	1/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
NDI	19/50 (38%)			0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Cervical rotation	55° (b)				65° (b)	
Cervical side bend	10° (b)				15° (b)	
Cervical flexion/ extension	50°/60°				60°/65°	
Strength						
Mid trap	3+/5				4/5	
Rhomboid	3+/5				4/5	
ER's	3+/5				4+/5	
Deep neck flexor endurance	45 s					
Cervical Flexion Rotation Test	Negative					
Joint mobility testing	C1-7 Lateral glide testing: hypomobile (b)				C1-7 Lateral glide testing: hypomobile (b)	
	Thoracic T1-5 CPA: hypomobile				Thoracic T1-5 CPA: hypomobile	
Screen	(-) cranial nerve, upper motor neuron, myotomes, dermatomes, deep tendon reflex tests					

Abbreviations: (b), bilateral; CPA, central posterior to anterior; ER's, external rotators; NDI, Neck Disability Index; NPRS, numeric pain rating scale.

## 2.3 | Differential diagnosis

Potential diagnoses included CTTH, CH, cervical facet arthropathy and cervical spondylosis. Notably, the patient presentation did not match the revised diagnostic criteria for CH<sup>65</sup> developed by the Cervicogenic Headache International Study Group (CHISG)<sup>65-67</sup> consisting of (1) the unilaterality of head pain without side shift, starting in the upper posterior neck or occipital region, eventually spreading to the oculofrontotemporal area on the symptomatic side, (2) pain triggered by neck movement and/or sustained awkward positions, (3) the reduced range of motion in the cervical spine<sup>68</sup> (i.e.,  $\leq 32^\circ$  of right or left passive rotation on the Flexion-Rotation Test),<sup>69-71</sup> (4) pain elicited by external pressure over at least one of the upper cervical joints (C0-3), and (5) moderate to severe, non-throbbing and non-lancinating pain. Nevertheless, the diagnosis was guided by the patient's subjective presentation of bilateral headache pain, the IHS criteria for CTTH including (1) headache occurring  $\geq 15$  days per month on average for  $>3$  months (2) headache lasting hours to days and (3) headache demonstrating at least

two of the following four characteristics: bilateral location, pressing or tightening (non-pulsating) quality, mild or moderate intensity, not aggravated by routine physical activity,<sup>2</sup> and specific reproduction of the patient's headache symptoms with palpation of the LS muscle and its entheses.

## 2.4 | Interventions

Cervical and thoracic mobilization was performed at the initial visit and the patient was also provided a home exercise program.<sup>72</sup> At the second visit, 1-week following initial evaluation, DN was performed and directed to the LS muscle belly one thumb width medial and cephalad to the superior angle of the scapula and to the distal teno-osseous attachment, bilaterally. The patient was placed in a prone position with his arm internally rotated behind the back and a rolled towel was placed under the anterior shoulder to further expose the superior angle of the scapula. A pincer grip was used to bracket the superior angle of the scapula as well as draw

the tissue superiorly, thus avoiding proximity to the ribcage and underlying lungs. Seiren needles (0.30 mm diameter  $\times$  50 mm length) were inserted obliquely from lateral-to-medial, superior-to-inferior and posterior-to-anterior through the upper trapezius and into the LS muscle belly, one thumb width medial and cephalad to the superior angle of the scapula (Figure 1). At the muscle belly, the needle was partially withdrawn and re-angled using a fanning technique to target 3–4 unique points within a narrow cone-shaped area. The observation or lack thereof of local twitch responses during DN did not appear to correlate with a subjective change of symptoms, consistent with a recent literature review that concluded that local twitch responses during DN are not necessary for analgesia.<sup>73</sup>

Periosteal pecking at the distal enthesis of the left and right LS was also performed at the junction between of the root of the spine of scapula and the superior angle of the scapula, bilaterally (Figure 1). The superior angle was marked superiorly by the left index finger and medially by the third (long) finger to ensure the needle did not migrate superiorly or medially and miss the scapula. The patient reported reproduction of headache symptoms during unidirectional winding of the needle targeting the distal MTrPs enthesis of the LS muscle, bilaterally. The technique of winding or twisting needles enhances the physiological effects of DN, by increasing local tissue stimulation, activating mechanoreceptors and subsequently amplifying the transmission of sensory signals to the central nervous system.<sup>74</sup> This heightened sensory input has been linked to the release of neurotransmitters, including endorphins and serotonin, which are crucial for pain modulation and regulation.<sup>75</sup> Interstitial adenosine, one of the body's natural anti-inflammatory mechanisms,

has been shown to remain elevated for 30 min post needle insertion, if the needle is inserted and unidirectionally rotated.<sup>76</sup>

All interventions performed during each session along with prescribed home exercise program can be found in Table 2.

## 2.5 | Outcomes

Immediately following DN treatment, patient reported decreased neck stiffness and pain intensity reduction (NPRS, 0–10) to 3/10 (from 6/10) for headache pain. At the third appointment, near complete relief of work-related headaches (1/10 on the NPRS) was described with onset occurring only during prolonged periods of work without attention to ergonomics.

Eight weeks from the initial evaluation, a complete cessation of work-related headaches with a significant reduction in muscle stiffness was reported. In addition, the patient demonstrated increased active range of motion in cervical rotation, side bend, flexion and extension, along with increased strength of middle trapezius, rhomboids, and shoulder external rotators. Emphasis was placed on a modified at-home workspace to optimize computer ergonomics and progressive strength training focusing on retraction-based exercises.

The patient was seen for a total of five visits over the course of 2 months. The timeline of interventions can be found in Figure 2. At discharge (8 weeks after initial evaluation) and at 6-month follow-up, the patient reported no headaches during the workday, no disability (i.e., a 0/50 score on the NDI), and no pain (i.e., 0/10 score on the NPRS). (Table 1).



**FIGURE 1** Dry needling to the levator scapulae muscle belly (left) and its distal enthesis (right) in the management of chronic tension-type headache associated with levator scapulae syndrome.

TABLE 2 Interventions and exercise.

Visit	1 (initial visit)	2	3	4	5 (discharge)
Interventions	Cervical rotational and lateral glide mobilization C1-7	Cervical rotational and lateral glide mobilization C1-7	Cervical rotational and lateral glide mobilization C1-7	Cervical rotational and lateral glide mobilization C1-7	None
	Thoracic CPA/UPA T1-5	Thoracic CPA/UPA T1-5 Dry needling targeting (b) levator scapulae muscle and entheses	Thoracic CPA/UPA T1-5 Dry needling targeting (b) levator scapulae muscle and entheses	Thoracic CPA/UPA T1-5 Dry needling targeting (b) levator scapulae muscle and entheses	
Home exercise program	Sidelying thoracic rotation stretch (b) (5x, 30s hold) Theraband resisted row (3x10) Extensive ergonomic education	Sidelying thoracic rotation stretch (b) (5x, 30s hold) Theraband resisted row (3x10) Bilateral ER with scapular retraction and band resistance (2x10 with 5" hold)	Sidelying thoracic rotation stretch (b) (5x, 30s hold) Theraband resisted row (3x10) Bilateral ER with scapular retraction and band resistance (2x10 with 5" hold)	Sidelying thoracic rotation stretch (b) (5x, 30s hold) Theraband resisted row (3x10) Bilateral ER with scapular retraction and band resistance (3x10 with 5" hold)	Sidelying thoracic rotation stretch (b) (5x, 30s hold) Theraband resisted row (3x10) Bilateral ER with scapular retraction and band resistance (3x10 with 5" hold)

Abbreviations: (b), bilateral; CPA, central posterior to anterior; ER, external rotation; UPA, unilateral posterior to anterior.



FIGURE 2 Timeline of interventions in a patient with chronic tension-type headache associated with levator scapulae syndrome.

### 3 | DISCUSSION

The results of this case report suggest the addition of DN targeting MTrPs of the distal LS muscle and distal enthesis over the medial aspect of the superior angle of the scapula, along with joint mobilization (C1-C4 in supine and T1-T6 in prone grade III/IV), strength training and ergonomic education may be useful when treating individuals with CTTH associated with LSS.

Manual therapy, therapeutic exercise and ergonomics are common types of physical therapy interventions for CTTH and were addressed with this patient; however, these non-invasive interventions did not appear to be associated in time with the patient reported changes in pain and disability.<sup>72,77-79</sup> Manipulation and mobilization appear no more effective than conservative care at short-term follow up for individuals with TTH.<sup>80</sup> Strength training has demonstrated a moderate clinical effect, but that is with a very low quality of evidence in patients with TTH.<sup>79</sup> Notably, a workplace intervention study that delivered an 8-week resistance training program for neck and shoulder pain found no between-group difference and reported a reduction in mean worst pain of 25% and 43%, respectively, when the two groups were merged.<sup>81</sup> Nevertheless, resistance training has been found to stimulate collagen turnover and increase levels of growth factor that further insulate tissue from pathology and be beneficial for CTTH patients.<sup>78,79</sup>

Although multiple interventions were utilized and no cause-and-effect relationship can be established in a single case report, the addition of DN targeting MTrPs in the distal belly and enthesis of the LS reproduced and appeared to improve the patient's headache symptoms, reflecting a change in pain intensity scores (NPRS, 0–10) from 5/10 on the second visit to 1/10 on the third visit after the first inclusion of the DN intervention. Notably, the amount of reported change in pain intensity was considerably smaller (i.e., from 6/10 at the initial visit to 5/10 on the second visit) when cervical and thoracic mobilization along with a home exercise program was only administered. In addition, the observed changes in pain intensity following the addition of the DN treatment to MTrPs suggests the etiology for this specific patient was likely not from underlying facet joint dysfunction.<sup>82</sup>

The underlying mechanisms of spinal mobilization, exercise, and DN remain to be elucidated. However, it has been suggested displacement of vertebrae may alter afferent discharge rates<sup>83</sup> by stimulating

mechanoreceptors and proprioceptors, thereby changing alpha motorneuron excitability levels and subsequent muscle activity.<sup>83–85</sup> Spinal manipulation may stimulate receptors in the deep paraspinal musculature, whereas spinal mobilization may be more likely to stimulate receptors in the superficial muscles.<sup>86</sup> Notably, biomechanical,<sup>87,88</sup> spinal or segmental<sup>89,90</sup> and central descending inhibitory pain pathway<sup>91–94</sup> models are all possible explanations for the hypoalgesic effects reported by the patient in this case study. Additionally, the biomechanical effects of spinal mobilization and/or manipulation have recently been under scientific scrutiny,<sup>95</sup> and it is plausible that the hypoalgesic effects observed in this patient are associated with a neurophysiological response involving temporal sensory summation at the dorsal horn of the spinal cord;<sup>89</sup> however, this proposed pain model is currently supported only on findings from transient, experimentally induced pain in healthy subjects,<sup>96,97</sup> not patients with CTTH.

Notably, this is a case report of a single patient; therefore, it is possible that the observed improvements in pain and disability reported by this individual are associated with a placebo effect from the insertion of monofilament needles without injectate. Nevertheless, a secondary analysis of an individual patient data meta-analysis of 29 trials ( $n=19,827$ ) of acupuncture for chronic pain concluded that real acupuncture was superior to sham needling irrespective of the subtype of control or sham procedure (penetrating or non-penetrating).<sup>98</sup>

Inactivation of MTrPs can improve local circulation, increase range of motion, decrease muscle tightness and improve the overall functional status of a muscle.<sup>82</sup> Two meta-analyses concluded that trigger point DN may be effective in decreasing pain in the short and medium term compared to control or sham needling.<sup>99,100</sup> Reductions in headache intensity, frequency and duration have been demonstrated following three sessions of DN to active MTrPs in muscles of the head and neck.<sup>32</sup> Additionally, a recent meta-analysis reported that DN produces similar effects to other interventions for short term headache relief.<sup>99</sup> Results demonstrate that potential benefits of DN included an increase in cervical ROM and a decrease in MTrP tenderness and headache frequency. Notably, DN has previously been found to provide significant improvement in short-term disability in patients with TTH.<sup>99</sup>

Notably, this case utilized DN to target the teno-osseous junction or enthesis of the LS, that is, the interface between the periosteum and the tendon. Poor tendon vascularization and vessel anastomosis justify DN to this region; in addition, previous clinical trials have reported successful outcomes in pain and disability when DN to the enthesis at the periosteal region was included.<sup>35,101</sup>

## 4 | CONCLUSION

Myofascial trigger points and sensitive entheses associated with the LS muscle may reproduce headache symptoms in individuals with CTTH. Particular areas of interest for both diagnosis and treatment are the region medial and cephalad to the superior angle of the scapula and also the distal teno-osseous attachment site over the medial aspect of the superior angle of the scapula. These two pathoanatomic lesions appeared to respond favorably to a multimodal treatment approach that included DN targeting MTrPs, periosteal DN targeting the enthesis, education, and strength training. A future randomized clinical trial with an active comparison group would be a useful next step to ascertain whether the changes seen in this single case study are apparent in a two-arm clinical trial.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Peter Gagnon:** Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; investigation; methodology; project administration; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **James Dunning:** Conceptualization; formal analysis; methodology; project administration; supervision; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Paul Bliton:** Methodology; resources; supervision; writing – review and editing. **Casey Charlebois:** Project administration; software; supervision; visualization; writing – review and editing. **Nathan Henry:** Methodology; project administration; supervision; writing – original draft. **Patrick Gorby:** Methodology; project administration; software; writing – review and editing. **Firas Mourad:** Data curation; methodology; project administration; supervision; writing – review and editing.

## FUNDING INFORMATION

None of the authors received any funding for this study. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

J.D. is the director and owner of the American Academy of Manipulative Therapy (AAMT) Fellowship in Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapy. AAMT provides postgraduate training programs in diagnostic musculoskeletal sonography, vestibular rehabilitation, spinal manipulation/mobilization, dry needling, extremity manipulation/mobilization, therapeutic exercise, and differential diagnosis to licensed physical therapists, dentists, chiropractors, and medical physicians. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests. None of the authors received any funding for this study.



## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The patient involved in this case study provided informed consent for treatment and for the publication of the case details in a scholarly journal.

## CONSENT

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient to publish this report in accordance with the journal's patient consent policy.

## ORCID

James Dunning  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1194-0108>

Firas Mourad  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8981-2085>

## REFERENCES

1. Stovner L, Hagen K, Jensen R, et al. The global burden of headache: a documentation of headache prevalence and disability worldwide. *Cephalalgia*. 2007;27(3):193-210. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2007.01288.x
2. Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The international classification of headache disorders: 2nd edition. *Cephalalgia*. 2004;24(Suppl 1):9-160.
3. Rasmussen BK, Jensen R, Olesen J. Impact of headache on sickness absence and utilisation of medical services: a Danish population study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1992;46(4):443-446. doi:10.1136/jech.46.4.443
4. Ashina S, Bendtsen L, Ashina M. Pathophysiology of tension-type headache. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2005;9(6):415-422. doi:10.1007/s11916-005-0021-8
5. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, Cuadrado ML, Gerwin RD, Pareja JA. Myofascial trigger points and their relationship to headache clinical parameters in chronic tension-type headache. *Headache*. 2006;46(8):1264-1272. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00440.x
6. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Cuadrado ML, Arendt-Nielsen L, Simons DG, Pareja JA. Myofascial trigger points and sensitization: an updated pain model for tension-type headache. *Cephalalgia*. 2007;27(5):383-393. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2007.01295.x
7. Mense S. Peripheral mechanism of muscle nociception and local muscle pain. *J Musculoskelet Pain*. 1993;1(1):133-170. doi:10.1300/J094v01n01\_10
8. Hubbard DR, Berkoff GM. Myofascial trigger points show spontaneous needle EMG activity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1993;18(13):1803-1807. doi:10.1097/00007632-199310000-00015
9. Novak CB. Upper extremity work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a treatment perspective. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2004;34(10):628-637. doi:10.2519/jospt.2004.34.10.628
10. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, Cuadrado ML, Gerwin RD, Pareja JA. Trigger points in the suboccipital muscles and forward head posture in tension-type headache. *Headache*. 2006;46(3):454-460. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00288.x
11. Fernandez de las Peñas C, Cuadrado ML, Gerwin RD, Pareja JA. Referred pain from the trochlear region in tension-type headache: a myofascial trigger point from the superior oblique muscle. *Headache*. 2005;45(6):731-737. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2005.05140.x
12. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Ge HY, Arendt-Nielsen L, Cuadrado ML, Pareja JA. The local and referred pain from myofascial trigger points in the temporalis muscle contributes to pain profile in chronic tension-type headache. *Clin J Pain*. 2007;23(9):786-792. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e318153496a
13. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Fernández-Mayoralas DM, Ortega-Santiago R, Ambite-Quesada S, Palacios-Ceña D, Pareja JA. Referred pain from myofascial trigger points in head and neck-shoulder muscles reproduces head pain features in children with chronic tension type headache. *J Headache Pain*. 2011;12(1):35-43. doi:10.1007/s10194-011-0316-6
14. Kamali F, Mohamadi M, Fakhri L, Mohammadnejad F. Dry needling versus friction massage to treat tension type headache: a randomized clinical trial. *J Bodyw Mov Ther*. 2019;23(1):89-93. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2018.01.009
15. Simons DG, Travell JG, Simons LS. *Travell & Simons' Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: Upper Half of Body*. Williams & Wilkins; 1999.
16. Rathbone ATL, Grosman-Rimon L, Kumbhare DA. Interrater agreement of manual palpation for identification of myofascial trigger points: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin J Pain*. 2017;33(8):715-729. doi:10.1097/AJP.0000000000000459
17. Lucas N, Macaskill P, Irwig L, Moran R, Bogduk N. Reliability of physical examination for diagnosis of myofascial trigger points: a systematic review of the literature. *Clin J Pain*. 2009;25(1):80-89. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e31817e13b6
18. Sikdar S, Shah JP, Gebreab T, et al. Novel applications of ultrasound technology to visualize and characterize myofascial trigger points and surrounding soft tissue. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2009;90(11):1829-1838. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2009.04.015
19. Vulfsons S, Ratmanský M, Kalichman L. Trigger point needling: techniques and outcome. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2012;16(5):407-412. doi:10.1007/s11916-012-0279-6
20. Simons DG. Clinical and etiological update of myofascial pain from trigger points. *J Musculoskelet Pain*. 1996;4(1-2):93-122. doi:10.1300/J094v04n01\_07
21. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Ge HY, Alonso-Blanco C, González-Iglesias J, Arendt-Nielsen L. Referred pain areas of active myofascial trigger points in head, neck, and shoulder muscles, in chronic tension type headache. *J Bodyw Mov Ther*. 2010;14(4):391-396. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2009.06.008
22. Celik D, Mutlu EK. Clinical implication of latent myofascial trigger point. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2013;17(8):353. doi:10.1007/s11916-013-0353-8
23. Ge HY, Arendt-Nielsen L, Madeleine P. Accelerated muscle fatigability of latent myofascial trigger points in humans. *Pain Med*. 2012;13(7):957-964. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2012.01416.x
24. Pourahmadi M, Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Keshkar A, et al. Effectiveness of dry needling for improving pain and disability in adults with tension-type, cervicogenic, or migraine headaches: protocol for a systematic review. *Chiropr Man Therap*. 2019;27:43. doi:10.1186/s12998-019-0266-7
25. Ashina S, Bendtsen L, Lyngberg AC, Lipton RB, Hajiyeva N, Jensen R. Prevalence of neck pain in migraine and tension-type

- headache: a population study. *Cephalalgia*. 2015;35(3):211-219. doi:10.1177/0333102414535110
26. Liang Z, Galea O, Thomas L, Jull G, Treleaven J. Cervical musculoskeletal impairments in migraine and tension type headache: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2019;42:67-83.
  27. Estwanik JJ. Levator scapulae syndrome. *Phys Sportsmed*. 1989;17(10):57-68. doi:10.1080/00913847.1989.11709889
  28. Henry JP, Munakomi S. *Anatomy, Head and Neck, Levator Scapulae Muscles*. StatPearls; 2023.
  29. Menachem A, Kaplan O, Dekel S. Levator scapulae syndrome: an anatomic-clinical study. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis*. 1993;53(1):21-24.
  30. Benjamin M, McGonagle D. The enthesis organ concept and its relevance to the spondyloarthropathies. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2009;649:57-70. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-0298-6\_4
  31. Kiris A, Kaya A, Ozgocmen S, Kocakoc E. Assessment of enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis by power Doppler ultrasonography. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2006;35(7):522-528. doi:10.1007/s00256-005-0071-3
  32. Gildir S, Tüzün EH, Eroğlu G, Eker L. A randomized trial of trigger point dry needling versus sham needling for chronic tension-type headache. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(8):e14520. doi:10.1097/md.00000000000014520
  33. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Cuadrado ML. Therapeutic options for cervicogenic headache. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2014;14(1):39-49. doi:10.1586/14737175.2014.863710
  34. Mitchell UH, Johnson AW, Larson RE, Seamons CT. Positional changes in distance to the pleura and in muscle thickness for dry needling. *Physiotherapy*. 2019;105(3):362-369. doi:10.1016/j.physio.2018.08.002
  35. Dunning J, Butts R, Henry N, et al. Electrical dry needling as an adjunct to exercise, manual therapy and ultrasound for plantar fasciitis: a multi-center randomized clinical trial. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(10):e0205405. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0205405
  36. Lewit K. The needle effect in the relief of myofascial pain. *Pain*. 1979;6(1):83-90.
  37. France S, Bown J, Nowosilskyj M, Mott M, Rand S, Walters J. Evidence for the use of dry needling and physiotherapy in the management of cervicogenic or tension-type headache: a systematic review. *Cephalalgia*. 2014;34(12):994-1003. doi:10.1177/0333102414523847
  38. Kamonseki DH, Lopes EP, van der Meer HA, Calixtre LB. Effectiveness of manual therapy in patients with tension-type headache. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2022;44(10):1780-1789. doi:10.1080/09638288.2020.1813817
  39. Vázquez-Justes D, Yarzabal-Rodríguez R, Doménech-García V, Herrero P, Bellosta-López P. Effectiveness of dry needling for headache: a systematic review. Análisis de la efectividad de la técnica de punción seca en cefaleas: revisión sistemática. *Neurología (Engl Ed)*. 2020;37:806-815. doi:10.1016/j.nrl.2019.09.010
  40. Velázquez Saornil J, Sánchez Milá Z, Campón Chekroun A, Barragán Casas JM, Frutos Llanes R, Rodríguez SD. Effectiveness of dry needling and ischaemic trigger point compression of the levator scapulae in patients with chronic neck pain: a short-term randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(19):6136.
  41. Cagnie B, Barbe T, De Ridder E, Van Oosterwijck J, Cools A, Danneels L. The influence of dry needling of the trapezius muscle on muscle blood flow and oxygenation. *J Manip Physiol Ther*. 2012;35(9):685-691. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2012.10.005
  42. Ishikawa H, Muraki T, Morise S, et al. Changes in stiffness of the dorsal scapular muscles before and after computer work: a comparison between individuals with and without neck and shoulder complaints. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2017;117(1):179-187. doi:10.1007/s00421-016-3510-z
  43. Johnston V, Jull G, Darnell R, Jimmieson NL, Souvlis T. Alterations in cervical muscle activity in functional and stressful tasks in female office workers with neck pain. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2008;103(3):253-264. doi:10.1007/s00421-008-0696-8
  44. Larsson SE, Cai H, Oberg PA. Continuous percutaneous measurement by laser-Doppler flowmetry of skeletal muscle microcirculation at varying levels of contraction force determined electromyographically. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1993;66(6):477-482. doi:10.1007/bf00634295
  45. Sjøgaard G, Rosendal L, Kristiansen J, et al. Muscle oxygenation and glycolysis in females with trapezius myalgia during stress and repetitive work using microdialysis and NIRS. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2010;108(4):657-669. doi:10.1007/s00421-009-1268-2
  46. Taş S, Korkusuz F, Erden Z. Neck muscle stiffness in participants with and without chronic neck pain: a shear-wave elastography study. *J Manip Physiol Ther*. 2018;41(7):580-588. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2018.01.007
  47. Lee E, Lee S. Impact of cervical sensory feedback for forward head posture on headache severity and physiological factors in patients with tension-type headache: a randomized, single-blind, controlled trial. *Med Sci Monit*. 2019;25:9572-9584. doi:10.12659/msm.918595
  48. Cleland JA, Childs JD, Whitman JM. Psychometric properties of the Neck Disability Index and numeric pain rating scale in patients with mechanical neck pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008;89(1):69-74. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2007.08.126
  49. Farrar JT, Young JP Jr, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole MR. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. *Pain*. 2001;94(2):149-158. doi:10.1016/s0304-3959(01)00349-9
  50. Young IA, Dunning J, Butts R, Cleland JA, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C. Psychometric properties of the numeric pain rating scale and Neck Disability Index in patients with cervicogenic headache. *Cephalalgia*. 2019;39(1):44-51. doi:10.1177/0333102418772584
  51. Salaffi F, Stancati A, Silvestri CA, Ciapetti A, Grassi W. Minimal clinically important changes in chronic musculoskeletal pain intensity measured on a numerical rating scale. *Eur J Pain*. 2004;8(4):283-291. doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2003.09.004
  52. MacDermid JC, Walton DM, Avery S, et al. Measurement properties of the Neck Disability Index: a systematic review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2009;39(5):400-417. doi:10.2519/jospt.2009.2930
  53. Pietrobon R, Coeytaux RR, Carey TS, Richardson WJ, DeVellis RF. Standard scales for measurement of functional outcome for cervical pain or dysfunction: a systematic review. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002;27(5):515-522. doi:10.1097/00007632-200203010-00012
  54. Vernon H. The Neck Disability Index: state-of-the-art, 1991-2008. *J Manip Physiol Ther*. 2008;31(7):491-502. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2008.08.006
  55. Vernon H, Mior S. The Neck Disability Index: a study of reliability and validity. *J Manip Physiol Ther*. 1991;14(7):409-415.

56. Vernon H. The psychometric properties of the Neck Disability Index. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;89(7):1414-1416. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2008.05.003
57. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, Cuadrado ML, Pareja JA. Forward head posture and neck mobility in chronic tension-type headache: a blinded, controlled study. *Cephalalgia.* 2006;26(3):314-319. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2005.01042.x
58. Moore MK. Upper crossed syndrome and its relationship to cervicogenic headache. *J Manip Physiol Ther.* 2004;27(6):414-420. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2004.05.007
59. Sohn J-H, Choi H-C, Lee S-M, Jun A-Y. Differences in cervical musculoskeletal impairment between episodic and chronic tension-type headache. *Cephalalgia.* 2010;30(12):1514-1523. doi:10.1177/0333102410375724
60. Yip CH, Chiu TT, Poon AT. The relationship between head posture and severity and disability of patients with neck pain. *Man Ther.* 2008;13(2):148-154. doi:10.1016/j.math.2006.11.002
61. Szeto GP, Straker LM, O'Sullivan PB. A comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic office workers performing monotonous keyboard work—1: neck and shoulder muscle recruitment patterns. *Man Ther.* 2005;10(4):270-280. doi:10.1016/j.math.2005.01.004
62. Shahidi B, Johnson CL, Curran-Everett D, Maluf KS. Reliability and group differences in quantitative cervicothoracic measures among individuals with and without chronic neck pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2012;13:215. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-13-215
63. Cagnie B, Struyf F, Cools A, Castelein B, Danneels L, O'leary S. The relevance of scapular dysfunction in neck pain: a brief commentary. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2014;44(6):435-439.
64. Ashina M, Bendtsen L, Jensen R, Sakai F, Olesen J. Muscle hardness in patients with chronic tension-type headache: relation to actual headache state. *Pain.* 1999;79(2-3):201-205. doi:10.1016/s0304-3959(98)00167-5
65. Sjaastad O, Fredriksen TA, Pfaffenrath V. Cervicogenic headache: diagnostic criteria. The Cervicogenic Headache International Study Group. *Headache.* 1998;38:442-445. doi:10.1046/j.1526-4610.1998.3806442.x
66. Sjaastad O, Fredriksen TA. Cervicogenic headache: criteria, classification and epidemiology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2000;18(2 Suppl 19):S3-S6.
67. Vincent MB, Luna RA. Cervicogenic headache: a comparison with migraine and tension-type headache. *Cephalalgia.* 1999;19(Suppl 25):11-16. doi:10.1177/0333102499019s2503
68. Zwart JA. Neck mobility in different headache disorders. *Headache.* 1997;37(1):6-11. doi:10.1046/j.1526-4610.1997.3701006.x
69. Hall T, Robinson K. The flexion-rotation test and active cervical mobility—a comparative measurement study in cervicogenic headache. *Man Ther.* 2004;9(4):197-202. doi:10.1016/j.math.2004.04.004
70. Hall TM, Briffa K, Hopper D, Robinson KW. The relationship between cervicogenic headache and impairment determined by the flexion-rotation test. *J Manip Physiol Ther.* 2010;33(9):666-671. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2010.09.002
71. Ogincin M, Hall T, Robinson K, Blackmore AM. The diagnostic validity of the cervical flexion-rotation test in C1/2-related cervicogenic headache. *Man Ther.* 2007;12:256-262. doi:10.1016/j.math.2006.06.016
72. Hong C-Z. Treatment of myofascial pain syndrome. Report author abstract disease/disorder overview. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2006;10(5):345. doi:10.1007/s11916-006-0058-3
73. Perreault T, Dunning J, Butts R. The local twitch response during trigger point dry needling: is it necessary for successful outcomes? *J Bodyw Mov Ther.* 2017;21(4):940-947. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2017.03.008
74. Tough EA, White AR, Cummings TM, Richards SH, Campbell JL. Acupuncture and dry needling in the management of myofascial trigger point pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Eur J Pain.* 2009;13(1):3-10. doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2008.02.006
75. Smith CA, Collins CT, Levett KM, et al. Acupuncture or acupressure for pain management during labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020;2(2):CD009232. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009232.pub2
76. Takano T, Chen X, Luo F, et al. Traditional acupuncture triggers a local increase in adenosine in human subjects. *J Pain.* 2012;13(12):1215-1223. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2012.09.012
77. Kim I-G, Lee S-Y. The effect of forward Head posture and tension type headache on Neck movement: for office worker. *J Kor Phys Ther.* 2018;30(4):108-111. doi:10.18857/jkpt.2018.30.4.108
78. Kjaer M, Langberg H, Miller BF, et al. Metabolic activity and collagen turnover in human tendon in response to physical activity. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact.* 2005;5(1):41-52.
79. Varangot-Reille C, Suso-Martí L, Romero-Palau M, Suárez-Pastor P, Cuenca-Martínez F. Effects of different therapeutic exercise modalities on migraine or tension-type headache: a systematic review and meta-analysis with a replicability analysis. *J Pain.* 2022;23(7):1099-1122.
80. Coelho M, Ela N, Garvin A, et al. The effectiveness of manipulation and mobilization on pain and disability in individuals with cervicogenic and tension-type headaches: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther Rev.* 2019;24(1-2):29-43.
81. Saeterbakken AH, Makrygiannis P, Stien N, et al. Dose-response of resistance training for neck-and shoulder pain relief: a workplace intervention study. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil.* 2020;12:8. doi:10.1186/s13102-020-0158-0
82. Hong CZ. Treatment of myofascial pain syndrome. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2006;10(5):345-349.
83. Pickar JG, Kang YM. Paraspinal muscle spindle responses to the duration of a spinal manipulation under force control. Research support, N.I.H., extramural. *J Manip Physiol Ther.* 2006;29(1):22-31. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2005.11.014
84. Herzog W, Scheele D, Conway PJ. Electromyographic responses of back and limb muscles associated with spinal manipulative therapy. Research support, non-U.S. Gov't. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1999;24(2):146-152. discussion 153.
85. Indahl A, Kaigle AM, Reikeras O, Holm SH. Interaction between the porcine lumbar intervertebral disc, zygapophysial joints, and paraspinal muscles. Research support, non-U.S. Gov't. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1997;22(24):2834-2840.
86. Bolton PS, Budgell BS. Spinal manipulation and spinal mobilization influence different axial sensory beds. Research support, non-U.S. Gov't. *Med Hypotheses.* 2006;66(2):258-262. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2005.08.054
87. Cassidy JD, Lopes AA, Yong-Hing K. The immediate effect of manipulation versus mobilization on pain and range of motion in the cervical spine: a randomized controlled trial. Clinical trial randomized controlled trial. *J Manip Physiol Ther.* 1992;15(9):570-575.
88. Martínez-Segura R, Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Ruiz-Saez M, López-Jiménez C, Rodríguez-Blanco C. Immediate effects on

- neck pain and active range of motion after a single cervical high-velocity low-amplitude manipulation in subjects presenting with mechanical neck pain: a randomized controlled trial. Randomized controlled trial. *J Manip Physiol Ther.* 2006;29(7):511-517. doi:[10.1016/j.jmpt.2006.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2006.06.022)
89. Bialosky JE, Bishop MD, Price DD, Robinson ME, George SZ. The mechanisms of manual therapy in the treatment of musculoskeletal pain: a comprehensive model. Research support, N.I.H., extramural. *Man Ther.* 2009;14(5):531-538. doi:[10.1016/j.math.2008.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2008.09.001)
  90. Dunning J, Rushton A. The effects of cervical high-velocity low-amplitude thrust manipulation on resting electromyographic activity of the biceps brachii muscle. Randomized controlled trial. *Man Ther.* 2009;14(5):508-513. doi:[10.1016/j.math.2008.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2008.09.003)
  91. Haavik-Taylor H, Murphy B. Cervical spine manipulation alters sensorimotor integration: a somatosensory evoked potential study. Randomized controlled trial research support, non-U.S. Gov't. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2007;118(2):391-402. doi:[10.1016/j.clinph.2006.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2006.09.014)
  92. Millan M. Descending control of pain. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2002;66:355-374.
  93. Skyba D, Radhakrishnan R, Rohlwing J, Wright A, Sluka K. Joint manipulation reduces hyperalgesia by activation of monoamine receptors but not opioid or GABA receptors in the spinal cord. *Pain.* 2003;106:159-168.
  94. Zusman M. Forebrain-mediated sensitization of central pain pathways: "non-specific" pain and a new image for manual therapy. *Man Ther.* 2002;7:80-88.
  95. Bialosky JE, George SZ, Bishop MD. How spinal manipulative therapy works: why ask why? *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2008;38(6):293-295. doi:[10.2519/jospt.2008.0118](https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2008.0118)
  96. Bishop MD, Beneciuk JM, George SZ. Immediate reduction in temporal sensory summation after thoracic spinal manipulation. Randomized controlled trial. *Spine J.* 2011;11(5):440-446. doi:[10.1016/j.spinee.2011.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2011.03.001)
  97. George SZ, Bishop MD, Bialosky JE, Zeppieri G Jr, Robinson ME. Immediate effects of spinal manipulation on thermal pain sensitivity: an experimental study. Research support, N.I.H., extramural research support, non-U.S. Gov't. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2006;7:68. doi:[10.1186/1471-2474-7-68](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-7-68)
  98. MacPherson H, Vertosick E, Lewith G, et al. Influence of control group on effect size in trials of acupuncture for chronic pain: a secondary analysis of an individual patient data meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(4):e93739. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0093739](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093739)
  99. Kietrys DM, Palombaro KM, Azzaretto E, et al. Effectiveness of dry needling for upper-quarter myofascial pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2013;43(9):620-634. doi:[10.2519/jospt.2013.4668](https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2013.4668)
  100. Liu L, Huang QM, Liu QG, et al. Evidence for dry needling in the management of myofascial trigger points associated with low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2018;99(1):144-152.e2. doi:[10.1016/j.apmr.2017.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2017.06.008)
  101. Fenwick SA, Hazleman BL, Riley GP. The vasculature and its role in the damaged and healing tendon. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2002;4(4):1-9.

**How to cite this article:** Gagnon P, Dunning J, Bliton P, et al. Dry needling in the management of chronic tension-type headache associated with levator scapulae syndrome: A case report. *Clin Case Rep.* 2024;12:e8858. doi:[10.1002/ccr3.8858](https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.8858)